



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

WPA  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/020,384	12/06/2001	Gary F. Feierbach	04860P2679	2221
7590	11/23/2007			
James C. Scheller, Jr. BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP Seventh Floor 12400 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026			EXAMINER DATSKOVSKIY, MICHAIL V	
		ART UNIT 2835	PAPER NUMBER	
		MAIL DATE 11/23/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

OCT-30-2005 01:32 From:

To:USPTO

P.1/13

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/020,384	FEIERBACH, GARY F.	
	Examiner Michael V. Datskovskiy	Art Unit 2835	
<i>-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --</i>			
<b>Period for Reply</b>			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>			
<b>Status</b>			
<p>1)<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 October 2007</u>.</p> <p>2a)<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> This action is FINAL.                    2b)<input type="checkbox"/> This action is non-final.</p> <p>3)<input type="checkbox"/> Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</p>			
<b>Disposition of Claims</b>			
<p>4)<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) <u>1-5,7,9-13,15-23,25-29,31-35,42,43 and 45-47</u> is/are pending in the application.</p> <p>4a)<input type="checkbox"/> Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.</p> <p>5)<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) <u>1-5,7,9-13,15-23,25-29 and 31-35</u> is/are allowed.</p> <p>6)<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) <u>42,43 and 45-47</u> is/are rejected.</p> <p>7)<input type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.</p> <p>8)<input type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</p>			
<b>Application Papers</b>			
<p>9)<input type="checkbox"/> The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</p> <p>10)<input type="checkbox"/> The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a)<input type="checkbox"/> accepted or b)<input type="checkbox"/> objected to by the Examiner.            Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).            Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</p> <p>11)<input type="checkbox"/> The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</p>			
<b>Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119</b>			
<p>12)<input type="checkbox"/> Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</p> <p>a)<input type="checkbox"/> All    b)<input type="checkbox"/> Some * c)<input type="checkbox"/> None of:            1.<input type="checkbox"/> Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.            2 <input type="checkbox"/> Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.            3 <input type="checkbox"/> Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</p> <p>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</p>			
<b>Attachment(s)</b>			
<p>1)<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</p> <p>2)<input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</p> <p>3)<input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)            Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____</p>		<p>4)<input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)            Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____</p> <p>5)<input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application</p> <p>6)<input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____</p>	

Application/Control Number: 10/020,384  
Art Unit: 2835

Page 2

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title. If the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains, Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 42-43, 45-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamoto et al (Previously cited US Patent 4,729,060) in view of Bramhall et al (Previously cited UK Patent GB 2 109 996 A).

Yamamoto et al teach a cooling device 10, Figs. 1, 2, 11, for removing heat from an integral circuit (IC) 7, said cooling device comprising: a conduit 1; a sealed flexible channel 5 having a first open end and a second thermally conductive closed end 3, said flexible channel is made of a resilient material having spring-like characteristics and providing a spring-like restoring force when compressed, said second end thermally conductive material (copper) having a substantially planar surface to interface directly with said IC 7 when said flexible channel is extended; an interconnect openings between said flexible channel and said conduit to allow a fluid to move between said conduit and said flexible channel; and a port for coupling to a pump 25 coupled to said conduit 1. Yamamoto et al teach furthermore means 21 for inducing turbulence in the conduit 1. Yamamoto et al do not teach said flexible channel alternating between a compressed position and an extended position when said pump reduces or increases a cooling fluid pressure (produces some range of vacuum or non-vacuum pressure), wherein said flexible channel compresses and removes from said IC and when said

OCT-30-2005 01:32 From:

To:USPTO

P. 4/13

Application/Control Number: 10/020,384  
Art Unit: 2835

Page 3

pump increases a cooling fluid pressure said flexible channel expands and moves toward said IC to contact it and to dissipate heat a generated by an integrated circuit. (Examiner has to point out that Yamamoto et al teach all necessary structure for performing such operations, including a possibility of controlling the hydraulic pressure of the coolant by the pump 29, (see col. 6, lines 26-29). Therefore, Yamamoto et al lacks only a method of using an apparatus similar to the proposed invention apparatus). Bramhall et al teach a cooling device, Figs.1-3, for removing heat from a semiconductor wafer 8, said cooling device comprising: conduits 30, 23; a sealed flexible channel 20 having a first open end and a second thermally conductive closed end 18, said flexible channel is made of a resilient material having spring-like characteristics and providing a spring-like restoring force when compressed, said second end having a substantially planar surface to interface directly with said semiconductor wafer 8 when said flexible channel is extended; an interconnect openings between said flexible channel and said conduit to allow a fluid to move between said conduit and said flexible channel. Bramhall et al teaches furthermore when a pump 36 reduces a cooling fluid pressure (produces some range of vacuum pressure), said flexible channel compresses and removes from said semiconductor wafer 8, and when said pump 36 increases a cooling fluid pressure said flexible channel expands and moves toward said semiconductor wafer 8 to contact it and to dissipate a generated heat; and said fluid could be heated (page 2, lines 45-93). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use an existing fluid pump in the device by Yamamoto et al to extend or compress a flexible channel in the device by Yamamoto et

OCT-30-2005 01:32 From:

To:USPTO

P.5/13

Application/Control Number: 10/020,384  
Art Unit: 2835

Page 4

al as it is shown in the device by Bramhall et al in order to facilitate removal of the electronic device. Regarding to the claims 43 and 46: Yamamoto et al and Bramhall et al teach all the limitations of the claims except certain ranges of the cooling fluid pressure to manipulate compressing or extending of said flexible channel. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to control the hydraulic pressure of the coolant by the pump 29 in the device by Yamamoto et al and Bramhall et al in the ranges claimed in the claims above, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233. With respect to claim 47: Yamamoto et al in Figs. 1 and 2 and Bramhall et al teach all the limitations of the claim except a heat sink attached to an interior surface of said closed end in the compressed and in the extended position. Yamamoto et al in Fig. 11 teach a heat sink 75, said heat sink being attached to an interior surface of said closed end 3 in the compressed and extended positions to conduct heat absorbed by said closed end through said heat sink to said cooling fluid contained within said conduit 1 and said flexible channel 5. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to provide in the device by Yamamoto et al, Figs. 1, 2, and Bramhall et al a heat sink as it is disclosed by Yamamoto et al in Fig. 11, in order to enhance heat dissipation.

**Allowable Subject Matter**

3. Claims 1-5, 7, 9-13, 15-23, 25-29, 31-35 are allowed.

OCT-30-2005 01:32 From:

To:USPTO

P.6/13

Application/Control Number: 10/020,384  
Art Unit: 2835

Page 5

4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Said planar fins being perpendicular to a flow of the fluid through the conduit.

5. Yamamoto et al and newly discovered Akamatsu et al (US Patent 5,420,753) each teaches a set of planar fins extending in a coolant conduit, wherein said fins are not located perpendicularly to a flow of the fluid through the conduit.

***Conclusion***

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael V. Datskovskiy whose telephone number is (571)272-2040. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/020,384  
Art Unit: 2835

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jayprakash N. Ganghi can be reached on (571)272-3740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



Michael V Datskovskiy  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 2835

11/20/2007